

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U 39 E) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing the Construction of the Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Project.

Application 02-09-043
(Filed September 30, 2002)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REQUIRING ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION AND ADDRESSING MOTIONS AND REQUEST**

I. Need for Additional Information

I would like the record in this proceeding to contain information regarding the cost of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) proposed low cost electric and magnetic field (EMF) mitigation measures, as set forth in PG&E's Preliminary Transmission EMF Management Plan included in Appendix 3A in the Final Environmental Impact Report but applied to the route tentatively chosen in the Proposed Decision mailed on June 8, 2004 in this proceeding (including both the recommended southern portion and the tentative northern portion). To that end, I instruct PG&E to file a document no later than July 6, 2004 containing the following information:

- The cost of PG&E's proposed low cost EMF measures for the school and daycare priority group along the route tentatively chosen in the Proposed Decision.
- The cost of PG&E's proposed low cost EMF measures for the residential priority group along the route tentatively chosen in the Proposed Decision.
- Whether, with a single 4% EMF mitigation budget based on a total project cost of \$206,988,000, sufficient funds would be available to provide low cost EMF mitigation measures for the

school and daycare priority group and the entire residential priority group.

II. Recent Motions and Request

On June 28, 2004, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) filed a motion to intervene in Application 02-09-043 for the purpose of submitting comments on the Proposed Decision and the Alternate Decision that have been mailed in this proceeding. That motion is granted.

On June 30, 2004, PG&E filed comments on President Peevey's Alternate Decision mailed on June 22, 2004. In its comments, PG&E requests (page 6, footnote 2) that the Commission take official notice of certain documents. Parties may file responses to PG&E's request no later than July 6, 2004.

On July 1, 2004, the 280 Corridor Concerned Citizens filed a motion to reopen the record asking that five photographs included in its comments on the Proposed Decision be received. Parties may file responses to this motion no later than July 6, 2004.

The parties received advance electronic notice of this ruling.

IT IS RULED that:

1. Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall file a document containing the information requested in Section I of this ruling no later than 5 p.m., on July 6, 2004.
2. Parties may file any objections to the receipt into evidence of the filed information no later than noon on July 7, 2004.
3. The motion to intervene of Southern California Edison Company (SCE) is granted.

4. The following person is granted Interested Party status on behalf of SCE and is added to the Appearances portion of the service list:

Richard Tom
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P.O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-4430
Facsimile: (626) 302-1926
Email: richard.tom@sce.com

5. Any responses to PG&E's request for official notice in its June 30, 2004 comments on the Alternate Decision or to the July 1, 2004 motion of 280 Corridor Concerned Citizens shall be filed no later than July 6, 2004.

6. While documents must be filed with the Docket Office in paper form, all documents filed pursuant to this ruling shall be served in electronic form by the indicated times, pursuant to Rule 2.3(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Paper format copies shall also be served on the Assigned Commissioner, the assigned Administrative Law Judge, anyone on the Appearances and State Service portions of the service list who does not have a valid e-mail address, and any other party who requests paper format service. The parties shall e-mail courtesy copies to the entire service list, including those appearing on the list as "Information Only."

Dated July 2, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ CHARLOTTE F. TERKEURST

Charlotte F. TerKeurst
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail, and by electronic mail to the parties to which an electronic mail address has been provided this day, served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Requiring Additional Information and Addressing Motions and Request on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated July 2, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JANET V. ALVIAR

Janet V. Alviar

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.